arXiv:1212.2354v1 [quant-ph] 11 Dec 2012

On reversibility of Bosonic linear channels with respect to families of pure states.

M.E. Shirokov[*]
Steklov Mathematical Institute, RAS, Moscow

Abstract

Conditions for reversibility (sufficiency) of Bosonic linear channels (in particular, Bosonic Gaussian channels) with respect to families of pure states are studied. By noting first that non-ideal Bosonic linear channels are not reversible with respect to complete families of pure states, we focus attention on analysis of reversibility of such channels with respect to non-complete orthogonal and non-orthogonal families.

By using the general reversibility condition expressed in terms of a complementary channel a characterization of reversibility properties of Bosonic linear channels is obtained and a description of reversed families of pure states in the Schrodinger representation is given. Reformulations of these results for Bosonic Gaussian channels and their physical interpretation are considered.

Conditions for existence of discrete classical-quantum subchannels and of completely depolarizing subchannels of a Bosonic linear channel are obtained in the Appendix.

Contents

1 Introduction 2

2 Preliminaries 3

---

[*] email:msh@mi.ras.ru

3 General conditions for reversibility **5**

4 Reversibility of Bosonic linear channels **10**
4.1 A characterization of the reversibility properties 11
4.2 The case of Gaussian channels 13
4.3 Explicit forms of reversed families 14

5 Applications **16**

6 Appendix **18**
6.1 On discrete c-q subchannels and completely depolarizing subchannels of Bosonic linear channels 18
6.2 Some facts from the theory of symplectic spaces 22

1 Introduction

A reversibility (sufficiency) of a quantum channel $\Phi : \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A) \to \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ with respect to a family $\mathfrak{S}$ of states in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ means existence of a quantum channel $\Psi : \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B) \to \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ such that $\Psi(\Phi(\rho)) = \rho$ for all $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}$ [15, 17].

The notion of reversibility of a channel naturally arises in analysis of different general questions of quantum information theory, in particular, of conditions for preserving of entropic characteristics of quantum states under action of a channel [6, 14, 15, 16, 17]. For example, the famous Petz's theorem states that an equality in the inequality

$$H(\Phi(\rho) \,\|\, \Phi(\sigma)) \leq H(\rho \,\|\, \sigma), \quad \rho, \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A),$$

expressing the fundamental monotonicity property of the relative entropy, holds if and only if the channel Φ is reversible with respect to the states ρ and σ. This theorem shows that the Holevo quantity[1] of an ensemble $\{\pi_i, \rho_i\}$ of quantum states is preserved under action of a quantum channel Φ, i.e.

$$\chi(\{\pi_i, \Phi(\rho_i)\}) = \chi(\{\pi_i, \rho_i\}),$$

if and only if the channel Φ is reversible with respect to the family $\{\rho_i\}$. Further analysis shows that preserving conditions for many others important characteristics under action of a quantum channel are also reduced to the reversibility condition [6, 15].

---

[1] The Holevo quantity $\chi(\{\pi_i, \rho_i\}) \doteq \sum_i \pi_i H(\rho_i \,\|\, \bar{\rho})$, where $\bar{\rho} \doteq \sum_i \pi_i \rho_i$, provides an upper bound for accessible classical information which can be obtained by applying a quantum measurement [7, 13].

A full description of all quantum channels reversible with respect to a given complete family of pure states is presented in [18], where, in particular, simple conditions of reversibility are obtained. In this paper we slightly strengthen these conditions (by proving an interesting "face property" of a set of reversible channels) and apply them to analysis of reversibility of Bosonic linear channels.

By noting that non-ideal Bosonic linear channels are not reversible with respect to complete families of pure states, we focus attention on analysis of reversibility of such channels with respect to *non-complete* orthogonal and non-orthogonal families. The obtained characterization of reversibility properties is then applied to Bosonic Gaussian channels playing a central role in quantum information theory [2, 5, 7, 19].

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space, $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H})$ – the Banach spaces of all bounded operators in $\mathcal{H}$ and of all trace-class operators in $\mathcal{H}$ correspondingly, $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ – the closed convex subset of $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of positive operators with unit trace called *states* [7, 13].

Denote by $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{H}}$ the unit operator in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and the identity transformation of the Banach space $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H})$ correspondingly.

Let $H(\rho)$ and $H(\rho\|\sigma)$ be respectively the von Neumann entropy of the state ρ and the quantum relative entropy of the states ρ and σ [7, 13].

A finite or countable collection of states $\{\rho_i\}$ with the corresponding probability distribution $\{\pi_i\}$ is called *ensemble* and denoted $\{\pi_i, \rho_i\}$. The state $\bar{\rho} = \sum_i \pi_i \rho_i$ is called the *average state* of the ensemble $\{\pi_i, \rho_i\}$.

A completely positive trace preserving linear map $\Phi : \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_A) \to \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ is called *quantum channel* [7, 13].

We will use an unitary dilation of a quantum channel [2, 7]: for a given channel $\Phi : \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_A) \to \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ one can find separable Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_D, \mathcal{H}_E$ having the property $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_D \subseteq \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_E = \mathcal{H}$, a state ρ_D in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_D)$ and an unitary operator U in the space $\mathcal{H}$ such that this channel can be represented as follows

$$\Phi(\rho) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_E} U \rho \otimes \rho_D U^*, \quad \rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A). \tag{1}$$

The quantum channel

$$\widehat{\Phi}^{w}(\rho)=\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_B}U\rho\otimes\rho_D U^*,\quad \rho\in\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A),\tag{2}$$

is called *weak complementary* to the channel Φ [7, 8]. If the state ρ_D is pure then (1) is the Stinespring representation of the channel Φ and the channel defined by (2) coincides with the *complementary* channel $\widehat{\Phi}$ to the channel Φ. The weak complementary channel is not uniquely defined (it depends on representation (1)), but the complementary channel is unique in the following sense: if $\widehat{\Phi}':\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)\to\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_{E'})$ is a channel defined by (2) via another representation (1) (with pure state ρ'_D) then the channels $\widehat{\Phi}$ and $\widehat{\Phi}'$ are isometrically equivalent in the sense of the following definition [10].

Definition 1. Channels $\Phi:\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)\to\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ and $\Phi':\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)\to\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}'_B)$ are *isometrically equivalent* if there exists a partial isometry $W:\mathcal{H}_B\to\mathcal{H}_{B'}$ such that

$$\Phi'(\rho)=W\Phi(\rho)W^*,\quad \Phi(\rho)=W^*\Phi'(\rho)W,\quad \rho\in\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A).$$

The notion of isometrical equivalence is very close to the notion of unitary equivalence (see the remark after Definition 1 in [18]).

Definition 2. A channel $\Phi:\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)\to\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ is called *classical-quantum of discrete type* (briefly, *discrete c-q channel*) if it has the following representation

$$\Phi(\rho)=\sum_{k=1}^{\dim\mathcal{H}_A}\langle k|\rho|k\rangle\sigma_k,\quad \rho\in\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A),\tag{3}$$

where $\{|k\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $\{\sigma_k\}$ is a collection of states in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$. If the collection $\{\sigma_k\}$ consists of different states we will say that Φ is a *discrete C-Q channel.*

We use the term "discrete" here, since in infinite dimensions there exist channels naturally called classical-quantum, which has no representation (3) (see the Appendix in [11]).

A discrete c-q channel (3) for which $\sigma_k=\sigma$ for all k is a *completely depolarizing* channel $\Phi(\rho)=\sigma\mathrm{Tr}\rho$.

Following [15, 16] introduce the basic notion of this paper.

Definition 3. A channel $\Phi : \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A) \to \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ is *reversible* with respect to a family $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ if there exists a channel $\Psi : \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B) \to \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ such that $\rho = \Psi \circ \Phi(\rho)$ for all $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}$.[2]

A general criterion of reversibility of a quantum channel expressed in terms of von Neumann algebras theory is obtained in [14] (see also [15]).

Definition 4. A family $\mathfrak{S}$ of states in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is *complete* if for any nonzero positive operator A in $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ there exists a state $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}A\rho > 0$.

A family $\{|\varphi_\lambda\rangle\langle\varphi_\lambda|\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ of pure states in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is complete if and only if the linear hull of the family $\{|\varphi_\lambda\rangle\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. By Lemma 2 in [14] an arbitrary complete family of states in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ contains a countable complete subfamily.

3 General conditions for reversibility

In this section we consider general conditions for reversibility of a quantum channel with respect to families pure states, which then will be applied to analysis of Bosonic linear channels.

We begin with the following observation showing the "face property" of the set of all channels reversible with respect to a given family of states.

Proposition 1. *Let Φ_1 and Φ_2 be quantum channels from $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ to $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ and $\Phi = p\,\Phi_1 + (1-p)\Phi_2$, where $p \in (0,1)$. If the channel Φ is reversible with respect to a countable family $\mathfrak{S}$ of states in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ then the channels Φ_1 and Φ_2 are reversible with respect to the family $\mathfrak{S}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{S} = \{\rho_i\}$ and $\{\pi_i\}$ be a non-degenerate probability distribution with finite Shannon entropy. Then the Holevo quantity of the ensemble $\{\pi_i, \rho_i\}$ is finite. Let $\bar{\rho} = \sum_i \pi_i\rho_i$ be the average state of this ensemble. By the reversibility of the channel Φ with respect to the family $\mathfrak{S}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i \pi_i H(\rho_i \,\|\, \bar{\rho}) &= \sum_i \pi_i H(\Phi(\rho_i) \,\|\, \Phi(\bar{\rho}))\\ &= \sum_i \pi_i H(p\,\Phi_1(\rho_i) + (1-p)\Phi_2(\rho_i) \,\|\, p\,\Phi_1(\bar{\rho}) + (1-p)\Phi_2(\bar{\rho}))\\ &\leq p\sum_i \pi_i H(\Phi_1(\rho_i) \,\|\, \Phi_1(\bar{\rho})) + (1-p)\sum_i \pi_i H(\Phi_2(\rho_i) \,\|\, \Phi_2(\bar{\rho}))\\ &\leq p\sum_i \pi_i H(\rho_i \,\|\, \bar{\rho}) + (1-p)\sum_i \pi_i H(\rho_i \,\|\, \bar{\rho}) = \sum_i \pi_i H(\rho_i \,\|\, \bar{\rho}),\end{aligned}$$

---

[2] This property is also called sufficiency of the channel Φ for the family $\mathfrak{S}$ [14, 17].

where the inequalities follow from monotonicity and joint convexity of the relative entropy. Thus equalities hold in both these inequalities and hence the channels Φ_1 and Φ_2 preserve the Holevo quantity of the ensemble $\{\pi_i, \rho_i\}$. By Theorem 2 in [14] (with the Remark after it) this implies reversibility the channels Φ_1 and Φ_2 with respect to the family $\mathfrak{S}$. $\square$

Theorem 4 in [18] (coinciding with the first part of Theorem 1 below) and Lemma 4 in [18] show that reversibility of a channel with respect to any family of pure states is equivalent to reversibility of this channel with respect to its particular countable subfamily. Hence in Proposition 1 we may not require that the family $\mathfrak{S}$ is countable if it consists of pure states.

Corollary 1. *The assertion of Proposition 1 holds for any family $\mathfrak{S}$ consisting of pure states.*

By Lemma 3 in [18] any complete family $\mathfrak{S}$ of pure states has the unique (finite or countable) decomposition

$$\mathfrak{S} = \bigcup_k \mathfrak{S}_k, \tag{4}$$

where $\{\mathfrak{S}_k\}$ is a collection of orthogonally non-decomposable families (this means that there is no subspace $\mathcal{H}_0$ such that some states (not all!) from $\mathfrak{S}_k$ lie in $\mathcal{H}_0$, while the others – in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\perp}$) mutually orthogonal in the sense that $\rho \perp \sigma$ if $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_j$, $k \neq j$.

Let P_k be the projector on the subspace $\mathcal{H}_k$ of $\mathcal{H}_A$ generated by the states in $\mathfrak{S}_k$. Then $\mathcal{H}_A = \bigoplus_k \mathcal{H}_k$ and $I_{\mathcal{H}_A} = \sum_k P_k$.

The following theorem is a strengthened version of Theorem 4 in [18].

Theorem 1. *Let $\Phi : \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A) \to \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ be a quantum channel and $\widehat{\Phi} : \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A) \to \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_E)$ its complementary channel. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a complete family of pure states in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *the channel Φ is reversible with respect to the family $\mathfrak{S}$;*

(ii) *the channel Φ is reversible with respect to the family*

$$\hat{\mathfrak{S}} = \left\{ \rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A) \;\middle|\; \rho = \sum_k P_k \rho P_k \right\};$$

(iii) $\widehat{\Phi}$ *is a discrete c-q channel having the representation*

$$\widehat{\Phi}(\rho)=\sum_k[\mathrm{Tr}P_k\rho]\sigma_k, \tag{5}$$

where $\{\sigma_k\}$ *is a set of states in* $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_E)$ *such that* $\mathrm{rank}\sigma_k\leq\dim\mathcal{H}_B\,\forall k$*;*

(iv) *the channel* Φ *is isometrically equivalent to the channel*

$$\Phi'(\rho)=\sum_{k,l}P_k\rho P_l\otimes\sum_{p,t}\langle\psi^l_t|\psi^k_p\rangle|p\rangle\langle t|$$

from $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ *into* $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A\otimes\mathcal{H}_B)$*, where* $\{|\psi^k_p\rangle\}$ *is a collection of vectors in a separable Hilbert space such that* $\sum_p\|\psi^k_p\|^2=1$ *for each* k *and* $\{|p\rangle\}$ *is an orthonormal basis in* $\mathcal{H}_B$*.*

If statements (i) – (iv) *hold then any weak complementary channel to the channel* Φ *is a discrete c-q channel having representation (5).*[3]

Proof. The equivalence of (i) – (iv) is stated in Theorem 4 in [18].

Let $\widehat{\Phi}^w$ be a weak complementary channel to the channel Φ defined by formula (2). It suffices to assume that the state ρ_D is not pure, since otherwise $\widehat{\Phi}^w=\widehat{\Phi}$. Let $\rho_D=\sum_i\lambda_i\rho^i_D$ be a pure states decomposition of ρ_D. Then $\Phi=\sum_i\lambda_i\Phi_i$ and $\widehat{\Phi}^w=\sum_i\lambda_i\widehat{\Phi}^w_i$, where Φ_i and $\widehat{\Phi}^w_i$ are channels defined by formulae (1) and (2) with ρ^i_D instead of ρ_D. Since the state ρ^i_D is pure, we have $\widehat{\Phi}^w_i=\widehat{\Phi}_i$ for each i.

By Corollary 1 reversibility of the channel Φ with respect to the family $\mathfrak{S}$ implies reversibility of all the channels Φ_i with respect to this family. By the first assertion of the theorem $\widehat{\Phi}^w_i(\rho)=\widehat{\Phi}_i(\rho)=\sum_k[\mathrm{Tr}P_k\rho]\sigma^i_k$. Hence

$$\widehat{\Phi}^w(\rho)=\sum_i\lambda_i\widehat{\Phi}^w_i(\rho)=\sum_k[\mathrm{Tr}P_k\rho]\sum_i\lambda_i\sigma^i_k.\quad\square$$

To apply Theorem 1 to analysis of reversibility of a channel with respect to non-complete families of pure states we will need the following notion.

Definition 5. A restriction of a channel $\Phi:\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)\rightarrow\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ to the set $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_0)$, where $\mathcal{H}_0$ is a nontrivial subspace of $\mathcal{H}_A$, is called *subchannel of* Φ *corresponding to the subspace* $\mathcal{H}_0$.

---

[3] In contrast to statement (iii) we can not assert here that $\mathrm{rank}\sigma_k\leq\dim\mathcal{H}_B$ for all k.

By definition the (weak) complementary channel to the subchannel of an arbitrary channel Φ corresponding to any subspace $\mathcal{H}_0$ coincides with the subchannel of the (weak) complementary channel $\widehat{\Phi}$ corresponding to the subspace $\mathcal{H}_0$, i.e.

$$\widehat{\Psi}=\widehat{\Phi}|_{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\Psi}^w=\widehat{\Phi}^w|_{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_0)}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Psi=\Phi|_{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_0)}.$$

Remark 1. By the last note Theorem 1 can be reformulated for the case of non-complete family $\mathfrak{S}$ *by replacing the channels* Φ, $\widehat{\Phi}$ *and* $\widehat{\Phi}^w$ *by their subchannels corresponding to the subspace generated by all the states of* $\mathfrak{S}$.

Remark 2. If the family $\mathfrak{S}$ in Theorem 1 is non-orthogonal then there is at least one subspace $\mathcal{H}_{k_0}$ in the above decomposition $\mathcal{H}_A=\bigoplus_k \mathcal{H}_k$ such that $\dim\mathcal{H}_{k_0}>1$. By Theorem 1 reversibility of the channel Φ with respect to this family $\mathfrak{S}$ implies that the subchannels of the channels $\widehat{\Phi}$ and $\widehat{\Phi}^w$ corresponding to the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{k_0}$ are completely depolarizing and hence the subchannel of the channel Φ corresponding to the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{k_0}$ is perfectly reversible, i.e. the channel Φ is perfectly reversible on the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{k_0}$ in terms of [7]. By Remark 1 this observation is valid for non-complete non-orthogonal family $\mathfrak{S}$ as well.

To describe reversibility properties of a quantum channel Φ with respect to families of pure states it is convenient to introduce the *reversibility index* $\mathrm{ri}(\Phi)=[\mathrm{ri}_1(\Phi),\mathrm{ri}_2(\Phi)]$, in which the both components $\mathrm{ri}_1(\Phi)$ and $\mathrm{ri}_2(\Phi)$ take values $0,1,2$. The first component $\mathrm{ri}_1(\Phi)$ characterizes reversibility of the channel Φ with respect to (w.r.t) complete families of pure states as follows

$\mathrm{ri}_1(\Phi)=2$ if Φ is reversible w.r.t. a complete nonorthogonal family $\mathfrak{S}$;[4]

$\mathrm{ri}_1(\Phi)=1$ if Φ is reversible w.r.t. a complete orthogonal family $\mathfrak{S}$ but it is not reversible w.r.t. any complete nonorthogonal family $\mathfrak{S}$;

$\mathrm{ri}_1(\Phi)=0$ if Φ is not reversible w.r.t. any complete family $\mathfrak{S}$.

The second component $\mathrm{ri}_2(\Phi)$ characterizes reversibility of the channel Φ with respect to non-complete families of pure states and is defined similarly to $\mathrm{ri}_1(\Phi)$ with the term "complete" replaced by "non-complete".

So that $\mathrm{ri}(\Phi)=01$ means that the channel Φ is not reversible with respect to any family of pure states which is either complete or nonorthogonal, but it is reversible with respect to some non-complete orthogonal family.

---

[4] Here and in what follows $\mathfrak{S}$ is a family of pure states.

Since reversibility of a channel with respect to some complete family implies its reversibility with respect to any subfamily of this family, the reversibility index can take the values

$$00, \quad 01, \quad 02, \quad 11, \quad 12, \quad 22.$$

By using the below Corollary 2 one can construct a channel with any reversibility index from the above list excepting the index 12. Existence of a channel with ri = 12 is an interesting open question.[5]

Corollary 2. *Let Φ be a quantum channel and $\widehat{\Phi}$ its complementary channel. Then*

$\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) = 22\,\} \Leftrightarrow \{\,\widehat{\Phi}$ *is d-c-q which is not d-C-Q*$\}$,

$\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) = 12\,\} \Leftrightarrow \{\,\widehat{\Phi}$ *is d-C-Q and has c-d subchannels*$\}$,

$\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) = 11\,\} \Leftrightarrow \{\,\widehat{\Phi}$ *is d-C-Q and has no c-d subchannels*$\}$,

$\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) = 02\,\} \Leftrightarrow \{\,\widehat{\Phi}$ *is not d-c-q and has c-d subchannels*$\}$,

$\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) = 01\,\} \Leftrightarrow \{\,\widehat{\Phi}$ *is not d-c-q and has only d-C-Q subchannels (not c-d)*$\}$,

$\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) = 00\,\} \Leftrightarrow \{\,\widehat{\Phi}$ *is not d-c-q and has no d-c-q subchannels*$\}$,

where the following abbreviations are used: d-c-q – discrete c-q, d-C-Q – discrete C-Q (see Definition 2); c-d – completely depolarizing.

The following implications also hold (w.c.=weak complementary)[6]

$\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) \geq 11\,\} \Rightarrow \{$ *any w.c. channel* $\widehat{\Phi}^w$ *is d-c-q*$\}$,

$\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) \neq 00\,\} \Rightarrow \{$ *any w.c. channel* $\widehat{\Phi}^w$ *has d-c-q subchannels*$\}$,

$\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) \geq 02\,\} \Rightarrow \{$ *any w.c. channel* $\widehat{\Phi}^w$ *has c-d subchannels*$\}$.

Proof. All the above assertions follow from Theorem 1 with Remarks 1 and 2. $\square$

We will show in the next section that the reversibility index takes the values $00, 01, 02$ and 22 on the class of Bosonic linear channels.

The following simple observation directly follows from the definitions.

Lemma 1. *Let Φ and Ψ be arbitrary channels then* $\mathrm{ri}(\Psi \circ \Phi) \leq \mathrm{ri}(\Phi)$.

---

[5] It seems intuitively that any C-Q channel can not have completely depolarizing subchannels, but I can not find a formal proof. I would be grateful for any comments.

[6] Here and in what follows $X_1X_2 \leq Y_1Y_2$ means that $X_1 \leq Y_1$ and $X_2 \leq Y_2$.

4 Reversibility of Bosonic linear channels

Let $\mathcal{H}_X$ $(X = A, B, ...)$ be the space of irreducible representation of the Canonical Commutation Relations (CCR)

$$W_X(z)W_X(z') = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}z^\top \Delta_X z'\right) W_X(z'+z) \tag{6}$$

with a symplectic space (Z_X, Δ_X) and the Weyl operators $W_X(z)$ [7, Ch.12]. Denote by s_X the number of modes of the system X, i.e. $2s_X = \dim Z_X$.[7]

A Bosonic linear channel $\Phi : \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_A) \to \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ is defined via the action of its dual $\Phi^* : \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_B) \to \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ on the Weyl operators:

$$\Phi^*(W_B(z)) = W_A(Kz)f(z), \quad z \in Z_B, \tag{7}$$

where K is a linear operator $Z_B \to Z_A$, and $f(z)$ is a complex continuous function on Z_B such that $f(0) = 1$ and the matrix with the elements $f(z_s - z_r)\exp\left(\frac{i}{2} z_s^T[\Delta_B - K^T\Delta_A K]z_r\right)$ is positive for any finite subset $\{z_s\}$ of Z_B [7, 8].[8] This channel will be denoted $\Phi_{K,f}$.

We will assume existence of an unitary dilation for the channel $\Phi_{K,f}$, i.e. existence of such Bosonic systems D and E that this channel can be represented as a restriction of a corresponding unitary evolution of the composite system $AD = BE$ (described by the symplectic space $Z = Z_A \oplus Z_D = Z_B \oplus Z_E$) provided that the system D is in a particular state ρ_D, i.e.

$$\Phi^*_{K,f}(W_B(z)) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_D}(I_A \otimes \rho_D)U_T^*(W_B(z) \otimes I_E)U_T, \quad z \in Z_B, \tag{8}$$

where U_T is the unitary operator in the space $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_D \cong \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$ implementing the symplectic transformation

$$T = \left[\begin{array}{cc} K & L \\ K_D & L_D \end{array}\right] \tag{9}$$

of the space Z (here $L : Z_E \to Z_A$, $K_D : Z_B \to Z_D$, $L_D : Z_E \to Z_D$ are appropriate linear operators) [2, 3, 7, 8] [9]. Note that

$$f(z) = \phi_{\rho_D}(K_D z), \tag{10}$$

---

[7] Some basic notions concerning symplectic spaces are presented in Appendix 6.2.

[8] This channel is also called quasi-free [4].

[9] Existence of such unitary dilation is proved for Bosonic Gaussian channels (as far as I know), but it is reasonable to assume its existence for all Bosonic linear channels. In any case, we will consider in what follows such Bosonic linear channels for which this unitary dilation exists.

where ϕ_{ρ_D} is the characteristic function of the state ρ_D.

The weak complementary channel (see Section 2) is defined as follows

$$\begin{array}{c}[\widehat{\Phi}^w_{K,f}]^*(W_E(z)) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_D}(I_A\otimes\rho_D)U_T^*(I_B\otimes W_E(z))U_T \\ = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_D}(I_A\otimes\rho_D)(W_A(Lz)\otimes W_D(L_Dz)) = W_A(Lz)\phi_{\rho_D}(L_Dz),\ z\in Z_E.\end{array} \tag{11}$$

Thus $\widehat{\Phi}^w_{K,f}$ is a Bosonic linear channel as well. If the state ρ_D is pure then $\widehat{\Phi}^w_{K,f}=\widehat{\Phi}_{K,f}$ is the complementary channel to the channel $\Phi_{K,f}$.

4.1 A characterization of the reversibility properties

By Theorem 1 (with Remarks 1,2 and Corollary 2) to study reversibility properties of the channel $\Phi_{K,f}$ we have to answer to the following questions concerning the complementary (weak complementary) channel $\widehat{\Phi}_{K,f}$ ($\widehat{\Phi}^w_{K,f}$):

- under what conditions is $\widehat{\Phi}_{K,f}$ ($\widehat{\Phi}^w_{K,f}$) a discrete c-q channel?
- under what conditions do discrete c-q subchannels of $\widehat{\Phi}_{K,f}$ ($\widehat{\Phi}^w_{K,f}$) exist?
- under what conditions do completely depolarizing subchannels of $\widehat{\Phi}_{K,f}$ ($\widehat{\Phi}^w_{K,f}$) exist?

Answers to these questions for an arbitrary Bosonic linear channel are given in Propositions 2 and 3 in Appendix 6.1. These propositions and Corollary 2 imply the following characterization of reversibility of the channel $\Phi_{K,f}$.

Theorem 2. *The reversibility index for a Bosonic linear channel $\Phi_{K,f}$ can take the values $00, 01, 02, 22$ and*

$$\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi_{K,f})=22\,\}\ \Leftrightarrow\ \{\,\Phi_{K,f}\ \textit{is the noiseless channel}\,\}.^{10}$$

Let $\Phi_{K,f}$ be a noised[11] *Bosonic linear channel for which there exists unitary dilation (8)-(9). Let $Z_f\doteq\{z\in Z_B\,|\,f(z)=1\}$. Then*

$$\begin{array}{l}\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi_{K,f})=00\,\}\ \Leftrightarrow\ \{\,Z_f=\{0\}\,\},\\ \{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi_{K,f})=01\,\}\ \Leftrightarrow\ \{\,Z_f\ \textit{is a nontrivial isotropic subspace of}\ Z_B\,\},\\ \{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi_{K,f})=02\,\}\ \Leftrightarrow\ \{\,\exists\, z_1,z_2\in Z_f\ \textit{such that}\ \Delta_B(z_1,z_2)\neq 0\,\}.\end{array} \tag{12}$$

---

[10] A noiseless Bosonic linear channel is defined by (7), in which K is a symplectic transformation in $Z_A=Z_B$ and $f(z)\equiv 1$.

[11] Here and in what follows we say that a channel is noised if it is not noiseless.

Explicit representations of families of pure states with respect to which the channel $\Phi_{K,f}$ is reversible in the cases $\mathrm{ri}(\Phi_{K,f}) = 01, 02$ are described in Subsection 4.3.

Proof. The first assertion of the theorem follows from Corollary 2 and Proposition 2 in Appendix 6.1.

In proving the second one we may assume that (8)-(9) is a Stinespring dilation for the channel $\Phi_{K,f}$, i.e. the state ρ_D is pure. Then the complementary channel to the channel $\Phi_{K,f}$ is a Bosonic linear channel defined by (11).

Since $Z_f = \ker K_D$, Lemma 2 below shows that $\mathrm{Ran}L = [K(Z_f)]^{\perp}$, $\ker K \cap Z_f = \{0\}$ and that $\Delta_A(Kz_1, Kz_2) = \Delta_B(z_1, z_2)$ for all $z_1, z_2 \in Z_f$. Hence all the statements in (12) follow from Corollary 2 and Proposition 3 in Appendix 6.1. $\square$

Remark 3. Implication " $\Leftarrow$ " in the last statement in (12) is intuitively clear and can be proved without using Theorem 2 by explicit construction of reversing channels for families of all pure states supported by particular subspaces of $\mathcal{H}_A$. Moreover, these reversing channels can be chosen from the class of Bosonic linear channels.

Indeed, if Z_B^0 is a nontrivial symplectic subspace of $Z_f = \ker K_D$ then the second assertion of Lemma 2 below shows that the restriction K^0 of the operator K to the subspace Z_B^0 is a symplectic embedding of this subspace into Z_A. Let $Z_A^0 = K(Z_B^0)$. Then $Z_X = Z_X^0 \oplus Z_X^*$, where $Z_X^* = [Z_X^0]^{\perp}$, and hence $\mathcal{H}_X = \mathcal{H}_X^0 \otimes \mathcal{H}_X^*$, $X = A, B$. Let σ be a given arbitrary state in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A^*)$ and $\mathfrak{S}_\sigma = \{\rho \otimes \sigma \,|\, \rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A^0)\} \subset \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$. Let $\Psi^0(\cdot) = U_{K^0}(\cdot)U_{K^0}^*$ be a channel from $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B^0)$ to $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A^0)$, where U_{K^0} is the unitary operator from $\mathcal{H}_B^0$ onto $\mathcal{H}_A^0$ implementing the symplectic transformation $K^0 : Z_B^0 \to Z_A^0$, and Ψ^* be the completely depolarizing channel from $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B^*)$ to $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A^*)$ with the output state σ. Then $\Psi^0 \otimes \Psi^*$ is a Bosonic linear channel from $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ to $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ and it is easy to see that $\Psi^0 \otimes \Psi^*(\Phi_{K,f}(\omega)) = \omega$ for all $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_\sigma$.

Lemma 2. *Let T be a symplectic transformation from $Z_B \oplus Z_E$ onto $Z_A \oplus Z_D$ defined by matrix (9). Then*

$$[\mathrm{Ran}L]^{\perp} = K(\ker K_D) \quad \textit{and} \quad \ker K_D = \Delta_B K^T \Delta_A \left([\mathrm{Ran}L]^{\perp}\right),$$

where $[\mathrm{Ran}L]^{\perp}$ *is the skew-orthogonal complementary subspace to the subspace* $\mathrm{Ran}L = \{Lz\}_{z \in Z_E} \subseteq Z_A$.[12]

---

[12] We will always use this sense of the symbol " $\perp$ " dealing with a subspace of a symplectic space.

The restriction of the operator K (correspondingly, $K^T\Delta_A$) to the subspace $\ker K_D$ *(correspondingly,* $[\mathrm{Ran}L]^\perp$*) is non-degenerate and symplectic, i.e. it preserves the corresponding skew-symmetrical forms Δ_X, $X = A, B$.*

This lemma shows that for a given Bosonic linear channel $\Phi_{K,f}$ the subspace Ran$L$ does not depend on a choice of its unitary dilation (8)-(9).

Proof. Note first that $[\mathrm{Ran}L]^\perp = \ker[L^T\Delta_A]$.

Since the matrix T defined in (9) is symplectic, we have (cf.[8])

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta_B &=& K^T\Delta_A K \;+\; K_D^T\Delta_D K_D, \\ 0 &=& L^T\Delta_A K \;+\; L_D^T\Delta_D K_D, \\ \Delta_E &=& L^T\Delta_A L \;+\; L_D^T\Delta_D L_D. \end{array} \tag{13}$$

Since the group of symplectic matrices is closed under transposition, the matrix T^T is symplectic. It implies the following equations (similar to (13))

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta_A &=& K\,\Delta_B K^T \;+\; L\,\Delta_E L^T, \\ 0 &=& K_D\Delta_B K^T \;+\; L_D\Delta_E L^T, \\ \Delta_D &=& K_D\Delta_B K_D^T \;+\; L_D\Delta_E L_D^T. \end{array} \tag{14}$$

The second equations in (13) and (14) imply respectively

$$K(\ker K_D) \subseteq \ker[L^T\Delta_A], \quad \Delta_B K^T\Delta_A(\ker[L^T\Delta_A]) \subseteq \ker K_D, \tag{15}$$

while the first equations in (13) and (14) show that

$$\ker K \cap \ker K_D = \{0\}, \quad \ker[\Delta_B K^T\Delta_A] \cap \ker[L^T\Delta_A] = \{0\},$$

since the matrices Δ_A and Δ_B are non-degenerate. It follows, by the dimension arguments, that " $=$ " holds in the both inclusions in (15).

The last assertions of the lemma directly follow from the first equations in (13) and (14). □

4.2 The case of Gaussian channels

A very important class of Bosonic linear channels constitutes Bosonic Gaussian channels defined by (7) with the Gaussian function

$$f(z) = \exp\left[il^\top z - \tfrac{1}{2}z^\top\alpha z\right],$$

where l is a $2s_B$-dimensional real row and α is a real symmetric $(2s_B)\times(2s_B)$ matrix satisfying the inequality

$$\alpha \geq \pm\frac{i}{2}\left[\Delta_B - K^\top \Delta_A K\right]. \tag{16}$$

Bosonic Gaussian channels can be defined as channels having unitary dilation (8)-(9) with Gaussian state ρ_D and $l = K_D^T m_D$, $\alpha = K_D^T \alpha_D K_D$, where m_D and α_D are the mean vector and the covariance matrix of the state ρ_D [7, Ch.12]. Thus $Z_f = \ker K_D = \ker\alpha$ in this case and Theorem 2 is reformulated for Bosonic Gaussian channels as follows.

Corollary 3. *Let Φ be a noised Bosonic Gaussian channel with the parameters K, l, α. Then*

$$\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi_{K,f}) = 00\,\} \;\Leftrightarrow\; \{\,\det\alpha \neq 0\,\},$$
$$\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi_{K,f}) = 01\,\} \;\Leftrightarrow\; \{\,\ker\alpha \;\textit{ is a nontrivial isotropic subspace of }\; Z_B\,\},$$
$$\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi_{K,f}) = 02\,\} \;\Leftrightarrow\; \{\,\exists\, z_1, z_2 \in \ker\alpha \;\textit{ such that }\; \Delta_B(z_1, z_2) \neq 0\,\}.$$

Physically, this characterization of reversibility of a Gaussian channel Φ is intuitively clear, since in the Heisenberg picture the condition $\det\alpha \neq 0$ means that the channel Φ^* injects quantum noise in all canonical variables of the system B, while degeneracy of the matrix α is equivalent to existence of noise-free canonical variables. Corollary 3 shows that

- the channel Φ is reversible with respect to some families of pure states if and only if the set of noise-free canonical variables is nonempty;
- the channel Φ is reversible *only* with respect to some orthogonal families of pure states if and only if all noise-free canonical variables commute;
- the channel Φ is reversible with respect to some non-orthogonal families of pure states (and hence it is perfectly reversible on a particular subspace) if and only if there are non-commuting noise-free canonical variables.

4.3 Explicit forms of reversed families

In this subsection we will give an explicit description of families of pure states with respect to which the Bosonic linear channel $\Phi_{K,f}$ is reversible. We will call they *reversed families* for the channel $\Phi_{K,f}$ and show that these families are completely determined by the subspace $K(Z_f) \subseteq Z_A$.

By Theorem 2 it suffices to consider the cases $\mathrm{ri}(\Phi_{K,f}) = 01, 02$.

$\mathbf{ri}(\mathbf{\Phi_{K,f}}) = \mathbf{01}$. By Theorem 2 and Lemma 2 in this case $K(Z_f)$ is a nontrivial isotropic subspace of Z_A and hence the subspace $\mathrm{Ran}L = [K(Z_f)]^{\perp}$ contains a maximal isotropic subspace of Z_A. By Lemma 6 in Appendix 6.2 there exists a symplectic basis $\{\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{h}_i\}$ in Z_A such that $\{\tilde{e}_1, ..., \tilde{e}_{s_A}, \tilde{h}_{d+1}, ..., \tilde{h}_{s_A}\}$ is a basis in $\mathrm{Ran}L$, $0 < d \leq s_A$. If we identify the space $\mathcal{H}_A$ with the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{s_A})$ of complex-valued functions of s_A variables (which will be denoted $\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A}$) and the Weyl operators $W_A(\tilde{e}_i)$ and $W_A(\tilde{h}_i)$ with the operators

$$\psi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A}) \mapsto e^{i\xi_i}\psi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A}) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A}) \mapsto \psi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_i + 1, ..., \xi_{s_A})$$

then Theorem 1 and the arguments from the proof of Proposition 3 in Appendix 6.1 show that *all* reversed families of pure states for the channel $\Phi_{K,f}$ corresponds to families $\{\psi_k\}$ of functions in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{s_A})$ with unit norm satisfying the following condition

$$\psi_k \cdot S_{y_{d+1},...,y_{s_A}}\psi_j = 0 \ \ (\text{in } L_2(\mathbb{R}^{s_A})) \quad \forall (y_{d+1}, ..., y_{s_A}) \in \mathbb{R}^{s_A - d}, \ \forall k \neq j,$$

where $S_{y_{d+1},...,y_{s_A}}$ is a shift operator by the vector $(0, ..., 0, y_{d+1}, ..., y_{s_A})$:

$$(S_{y_{d+1},...,y_{s_A}}\psi)(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A}) = \psi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_d, \xi_{d+1} + y_{d+1}, ..., \xi_{s_A} + y_{s_A}).$$

This condition means, roughly speaking, that all shifts in $\mathbb{R}^{s_A}$ of the supports of the functions of the family $\{\psi_k\}$ along the last $s_A - d$ coordinates do not intersect each other.

As an example of a reversed family one can take the family of product pure states $|\phi_k \otimes \varphi\rangle\langle\phi_k \otimes \varphi|$ corresponding to the family of functions

$$\psi_k(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A}) = \phi_k(\xi_1, ..., \xi_d)\varphi(\xi_{d+1}, ..., \xi_{s_A}),$$

where $\{\phi_k\}$ is a family of functions in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with pairwise disjoint supports and φ is a given function in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{s_A - d})$.

$\mathbf{ri}(\mathbf{\Phi_{K,f}}) = \mathbf{02}$. By Theorem 2 in this case there exists a symplectic subspace Z_B^0 of Z_f. By Lemma 2 $Z_A^0 = K(Z_B^0)$ a symplectic subspace of $[\mathrm{Ran}L]^{\perp} = K(Z_f)$. Let $\{\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{h}_i\}_{i=1}^{s_A}$ be a symplectic basis in Z_A such that $\{\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{h}_i\}_{i=1}^{d}$ is a symplectic basis in Z_A^0. If we identify the space $\mathcal{H}_A$ with the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{s_A})$ as before then Theorem 1 and the arguments from the proof of Proposition 3 in Appendix 6.1 show that subspaces of perfect reversibility of the channel $\Phi_{K,f}$ correspond to the subspaces of the form

$L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)\otimes\{c|\varphi\rangle\}$, $\varphi\in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{s_A-d})$ (in agreement with the observation in Remark 3).

Example: one-mode Gaussian channels. The simplest class of Bosonic Gaussian channels are channels for which the input and the output are one-mode Bosonic systems: $\dim Z_A=\dim Z_B=2$.

A classification of all one-mode Gaussian channels is obtained in [9], where it is shown that there are only six canonical types A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2, C, D of such channels (see details in [7, 9]).

By Corollary 3 all non-ideal one-mode Gaussian channels are not reversible with respect to any families of pure states excepting the channel B_1 which has reversibility index 01.

By identifying the space $\mathcal{H}_A$ with the space $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ of complex-valued functions of one variable ξ and the canonical variables q and p with the operators $\psi(\xi)\mapsto\xi\psi(\xi)$ and $\psi(\xi)\mapsto -i\psi'(\xi)$ one can describe all families of pure states with respect to which the channel B_1 is reversible. Indeed, since in this case (cf.[9])

$$K=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1&0\\0&1\end{array}\right],\quad \alpha=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0&0\\0&1/4\end{array}\right],\quad Z_f=\ker\alpha=\left[\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right],$$

the observation from Subsection 4.3 show that all reversed families of pure states for this channel have the form

$$\{|\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_k|\},\ \text{ where } \{\psi_k\}\subset L_2(\mathbb{R})\ \text{ such that }\ \psi_k\cdot\psi_j=0\ \ (\text{in } L_2(\mathbb{R}))\ \ \forall k\neq j.$$

i.e., roughly speaking, all reversed families of pure states correspond to families of functions with mutually disjoint supports.

This conclusion agrees with the physical nature of the channel B_1, whose action consists in injection noise into only one canonical variable p. The explicit formula for the channel B_1 (formula (7.1) in [19] with q replaced by p) shows that this channel transforms pure states from the above family $\{|\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_k|\}$ into states supported by mutually orthogonal subspaces.

5 Applications

Study of reversibility properties of Bosonic linear channels with respect to families of pure states was initially motivated by the question of (non)-preserving of the Holevo quantity of arbitrary (discrete or continuous) ensembles of pure states under action of such channels, i.e. the question of validity of an equality in the general inequality

$$\chi(\Phi(\mu)) \leq \chi(\mu), \tag{17}$$

which holds, by monotonicity of the relative entropy, for an arbitrary channel $\Phi : \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ and any generalized ensemble μ of states in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ (defined as a Borel probability measure on $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$).

Denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A))$ the set of all generalized ensembles of pure states (probability measures on $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ supported by pure states). Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\rm c}(\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A))$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\rm o}(\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A))$ the subsets of $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A))$ consisting respectively of all ensembles with non-degenerate average state (barycenter) and of all ensembles of mutually orthogonal pure states.

For a given channel $\Phi : \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ let $\mathcal{P}(\Phi)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A))$ consisting of all ensembles μ for which an equality holds in (17).

Theorem 5 in [18] imply the following statements:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) = 00\,\} \;\Rightarrow\; \{\,\mathcal{P}(\Phi) = \emptyset\,\},\\
&\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) = 01\,\} \;\Rightarrow\; \{\,\mathcal{P}(\Phi) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\rm o}\backslash\mathcal{P}_{\rm c}\},\\
&\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) = 02\,\} \;\Rightarrow\; \{\,\mathcal{P}(\Phi) \subset \mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{P}_{\rm c}\,\},\\
&\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) = 11\,\} \;\Rightarrow\; \{\,\mathcal{P}(\Phi) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\rm o}\,\},\\
&\{\,\mathrm{ri}(\Phi) = 12\,\} \;\Rightarrow\; \{\,\mathcal{P}(\Phi) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\rm o} \cup [\mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{P}_{\rm c}]\,\},
\end{aligned}$$

where we write $\mathcal{P}_*$ instead of $\mathcal{P}_*(\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A))$ for brevity.

Thus, Theorem 2 implies the following assertions.

Corollary 4. *Let $\Phi_{K,f}$ be a Bosonic linear channel, $Z_f = \{z \,|\, f(z) = 1\}$.*

A) *If $Z_f = \{0\}$ then $\chi(\Phi_{K,f}(\mu)) < \chi(\mu)$ for any ensemble μ of pure states;*

B) *If Z_f is an isotropic subspace then the above inequality holds for any non-orthogonal (in particular, continuous) ensemble μ of pure states.*

By using the observations in Subsection 4.3 one can describe all ensembles μ of pure states for which $\chi(\Phi_{K,f}(\mu)) = \chi(\mu)$. By these observations all such ensembles are completely determined by the subspace $K(Z_f)$.

6 Appendix

6.1 On discrete c-q subchannels and completely depolarizing subchannels of Bosonic linear channels

In [11, Proposition 4] it is shown that any nontrivial Bosonic Gaussian channel is not a discrete c-q channel (it is a discrete c-q channel only if it is completely depolarizing). The same arguments hold true for an arbitrary Bosonic linear channel $\Phi_{K,f}$ (defined by (7)), implying the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *$\Phi_{K,f}$ is a discrete c-q channel if and only if it is completely depolarizing (i.e. if and only if $K=0$).*

In this section we explore necessary and sufficient conditions of existence of discrete c-q subchannels and of completely depolarizing subchannels of a Bosonic linear channel $\Phi_{K,f}$.

We begin with the following simple observations.

Lemma 3. A) *The channel $\Phi_{K,f}$ (defined by (7)) has a discrete c-q subchannel corresponding to a subspace $\mathcal{H}_0$, i.e.*

$$\Phi_{K,f}(\rho)=\sum_k\langle k|\rho|k\rangle\sigma_k\quad\forall\rho\in\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_0),\tag{18}$$

where $\{|k\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}_0$, if and only if the following condition holds

$$\langle k|W_A(Kz)|j\rangle=0\quad\forall z\in Z_B\tag{19}$$

for all $k\neq j$. Under this condition $\sigma_k=\sigma_j$ in (18) if and only if

$$\langle k|W_A(Kz)|k\rangle=\langle j|W_A(Kz)|j\rangle\quad\forall z\in Z_B.\tag{20}$$

B) *The channel $\Phi_{K,f}$ has a completely depolarizing subchannel corresponding to a subspace $\mathcal{H}_0$ if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis $\{|k\rangle\}$ of $\mathcal{H}_0$ such that conditions (19) and (20) hold for all $k\neq j$.*

Proof. Since the dual map to the subchannel of $\Phi_{K,f}$ corresponding to the subspace $\mathcal{H}_0$ has the form $P\Phi^*_{K,f}(\cdot)P$, where P is the projector onto $\mathcal{H}_0$, and the family $\{W_B(z)\}_{z\in Z_B}$ generates $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_B)$, representation (18) is equivalent to validity of the following equality

$$PW_A(Kz)Pf(z)=P\Phi^*_{K,f}(W_B(z))P=\sum_k[\mathrm{Tr}W_B(z)\sigma_k]|k\rangle\langle k|,$$

for all $z \in Z_B$. This implies the both assertions of the lemma.[13] $\square$

Lemma 3 is essentially used in the proof of the below stated proposition in which we refer to the following condition for the linear operator K:

$$\mathrm{Ran}K \doteq \{Kz\}_{z\in Z_B} \text{ contains a maximal isotropic subspace of } Z_A, \qquad (21)$$

meaning that there are no $z_1, z_2 \in \ker[K^T\Delta_A]$ such that $\Delta_A(z_1, z_2) \neq 0$.

Proposition 3. *The channel* $\Phi_{K,f}$ *has discrete c-q subchannels if and only if* $\mathrm{rank}K < \dim Z_A$. *Under this condition*

$$\{\, \Phi_{K,f} \textit{ has only C-Q subchannels} \,\} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \{\, \textit{condition (21) is valid} \}$$

$$\{\, \Phi_{K,f} \textit{ has comp. dep. subchannels} \,\} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \{\, \textit{condition (21) is not valid} \,\}$$

(C-Q subchannel is discrete c-q subchannel (18) with $\sigma_k \neq \sigma_j$ *for* $k \neq j$*).*

Proof. If $\mathrm{rank}K = \dim Z_A$ then the family $\{W_A(Kz)\}_{z\in Z_B}$ of Weyl operators acts irreducibly on $\mathcal{H}_A$. Hence condition (19) can not be valid.

To complete the proof it suffices to check the implications " $\Leftarrow$ " in the both equivalence statements of the proposition.

If $\mathrm{rank}K < \dim Z_A$ and condition (21) holds then Lemma 6 in the next section implies existence of a symplectic basis $\{\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{h}_i\}$ in Z_A such that $\{\tilde{e}_1, ..., \tilde{e}_{s_A}, \tilde{h}_{d+1}, ..., \tilde{h}_{s_A}\}$ is a basis in $\mathrm{Ran}K$, $d \leq s_A$. Let Z_B^0 be a subspace of Z_B with the basis $\{z_1^e, ..., z_{s_A}^e, z_{d+1}^h, ..., z_{s_A}^h\}$ such that $\tilde{e}_i = Kz_i^e$ for all $i = \overline{1, s_A}$ and $\tilde{h}_i = Kz_i^h$ for all $i = \overline{d+1, s_A}$. Thus for any vector $z \in Z_B^0$ represented as $z = \sum_{i=1}^{s_A} x_i z_i^e + \sum_{i=d+1}^{s_A} y_i z_i^h$, $(x_1, ..., x_{s_A}) \in \mathbb{R}^{s_A}$, $(y_{d+1}, ..., y_{s_A}) \in \mathbb{R}^{s_A-d}$ we have

$$W_A(Kz) = W_A\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s_A} x_i z_i^e + \sum_{i=d+1}^{s_A} y_i z_i^h\right)$$

$$= \lambda W_A(x_1\tilde{e}_1) \cdot ... \cdot W_A(x_{s_A}\tilde{e}_{s_A}) \cdot W_A(y_{d+1}\tilde{h}_{d+1}) \cdot ... \cdot W_A(y_{s_A}\tilde{h}_{s_A}),$$

where $\lambda = e^{i[x_{d+1}y_{d+1}+...+x_{s_A}y_{s_A}]} \neq 0$.

By identifying the space $\mathcal{H}_A$ with the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{s_A})$ of complex-valued functions of s_A variables (which will be denoted $\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A}$) and the Weyl operators $W_A(\tilde{e}_i)$ and $W_A(\tilde{h}_i)$ with the operators

$$\psi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A}) \mapsto e^{i\xi_i}\psi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A}) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A}) \mapsto \psi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_i + 1, ..., \xi_{s_A})$$

---

[13] If $f(z) = 0$ then there exists such $c > 0$ that $f(cz) \neq 0$ (since the function f is continuous and $f(0) = 1$).

the equality in (19) for the vector z can be rewritten as follows

$$\int \overline{\psi_k(\xi_1,...,\xi_{s_A})}(S_{y_{d+1},...,y_{s_A}}\psi_j)(\xi_1,...,\xi_{s_A})e^{i(x_1\xi_1+...+x_{s_A}\xi_{s_A})}d\xi_1,...,d\xi_{s_A}=0,$$

where $(S_{y_{d+1},...,y_{s_A}}\psi_j)(\xi_1,...,\xi_{s_A})=\psi_j(\xi_1,...,\xi_d,\xi_{d+1}+y_{d+1},,...,\xi_{s_A}+y_{s_A})$.

This equality is valid for all $(x_1,...,x_{s_A})\in\mathbb{R}^{s_A}$ and $(y_{d+1},...,y_{s_A})\in\mathbb{R}^{s_A-d}$ (that is for all $z\in Z_B^0$) if and only if

$$\overline{\psi_k(\xi_1,...,\xi_{s_A})}(S_{y_{d+1},...,y_{s_A}}\psi_j)(\xi_1,...,\xi_{s_A})=0$$

for almost all $(\xi_1,...,\xi_{s_A})\in\mathbb{R}^{s_A}$ and all $(y_{d+1},...,y_{s_A})\in\mathbb{R}^{s_A-d}$. Since $\mathrm{Ran}K=K(Z_B^0)$, it implies that the condition of existence a discrete c-q subchannel in Lemma 3A in this case is expressed as follows

$$\psi_k\cdot S_{y_{d+1},...,y_{s_A}}\psi_j=0\ \ (\text{in } L_2(\mathbb{R}^{s_A}))\quad \forall(y_{d+1},...,y_{s_A})\in\mathbb{R}^{s_A-d},\ \forall k\neq j. \quad (22)$$

This condition means, roughly speaking, that all shifts in $\mathbb{R}^{s_A}$ of the supports of the functions of the family $\{\psi_k\}$ along the last s_A-d coordinates do not intersect each other.

As an example of a family satisfying condition (22) one can take the family of functions

$$\psi_k(\xi_1,...,\xi_{s_A})=\phi_k(\xi_1,...,\xi_d)\varphi(\xi_{d+1},...,\xi_{s_A}), \quad (23)$$

where $\{\phi_k\}$ is a family of functions in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with pairwise disjoint supports and φ is a given function in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{s_A-d})$. It is clear that this family (consisting of tensor product vectors $|\phi_k\otimes\varphi\rangle$) is not a "general solution" of (22).

To show that for any $k\neq j$ the equality in (20) can not be valid for all $z\in Z_B$ note that this equality for the vector $z=\sum_{i=1}^{s_A}x_iz_i\in Z_B^0$ can be rewritten as follows

$$\int|\psi_k(\xi_1,...,\xi_{s_A})|^2e^{i(x_1\xi_1+...+x_{s_A}\xi_{s_A})}d\xi_1,...,d\xi_{s_A}$$

$$=\int|\psi_j(\xi_1,...,\xi_{s_A})|^2e^{i(x_1\xi_1+...+x_{s_A}\xi_{s_A})}d\xi_1,...,d\xi_{s_A}.$$

Validity of this equality for all $(x_1,...,x_{s_A})\in\mathbb{R}^{s_A}$ means that the classical characteristic functions of the probability densities $|\psi_k|^2$ and $|\psi_j|^2$ coincide. But this obviously contradicts to condition (22).

If $\mathrm{rank}K < \dim Z_A$ and condition (21) is not valid then the skew-orthogonal complement to the subspace $\mathrm{Ran}K$ contains a symplectic subspace Z_A^0 (this also follows from Lemma 6). Let $\{\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{h}_i\}_{i=1}^{s_A}$ be a symplectic basis in Z_A such that $\{\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{h}_i\}_{i=1}^{d}$ forms a symplectic basis in Z_A^0.

By identifying the space $\mathcal{H}_A$ with the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{s_A})$ as before we see that the equalities in (19) and in (20) can be rewritten respectively as

$$\int \overline{\psi_k(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A})}(W_A(Kz)\psi_j)(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A})d\xi_1, ..., d\xi_{s_A} = 0 \tag{24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \overline{\psi_k(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A})}(W_A(Kz)\psi_k)(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A})d\xi_1, ..., d\xi_{s_A} \\ =& \int \overline{\psi_j(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A})}(W_A(Kz)\psi_j)(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{s_A})d\xi_1, ..., d\xi_{s_A}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $\{\psi_k\}$ is an orthonormal family of functions in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{s_A})$.

Since for any $z \in Z_B$ the vector Kz has no components corresponding to the vectors $\{\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{h}_i\}_{i=1}^{d}$, one can satisfy equalities (24) and (25) for all $k \neq j$ and all $z \in Z_B$ by taking the family of functions (23), in which $\{\phi_k\}$ is an arbitrary orthonormal basis in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and φ is a given function in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{s_A-d})$. Thus, by Lemma 3B, the restriction of the channel $\Phi_{K,f}$ to any subspace of the form $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \{c|\varphi\rangle\}$, $\varphi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{s_A-d})$, is completely depolarizing. $\square$

If two Bosonic systems are described by the symplectic spaces Z_1 and Z_2 then the composite Bosonic system is described by the symplectic space $Z_1 \oplus Z_2$ with the following bipartite Weyl operators

$$W_{12}(z_1, z_2) = W_1(z_1) \otimes W_2(z_2).$$

If $\Phi_{K_i,f_i} : \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_{A_i}) \to \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_{B_i})$, $i = 1, 2$, are Bosonic linear channels then the channel $\Phi_{K_1,f_1} \otimes \Phi_{K_2,f_2}$ is a Bosonic linear channel defined by (7) with $Z_A = Z_{A_1} \oplus Z_{A_2}$, $Z_B = Z_{B_1} \oplus Z_{B_2}$ and

$$K = K_1 \oplus K_2 : Z_{B_1} \oplus Z_{B_2} \to Z_{A_1} \oplus Z_{A_2}, \quad f(z_1, z_2) = f_1(z_1)f_2(z_2),$$

i.e.

$$\Phi_{K_1,f_1} \otimes \Phi_{K_2,f_2} = \Phi_{K_1 \oplus K_2, f_1 \cdot f_2}.$$

Proposition 3 immediately implies the following observations.

Corollary 5. *Let* Φ_{K_1,f_1} *and* Φ_{K_2,f_2} *be Bosonic linear channels.*

A) *If the both channels* Φ_{K_1,f_1} *and* Φ_{K_2,f_2} *have no discrete c-q subchannels then the channel* $\Phi_{K_1,f_1}\otimes\Phi_{K_2,f_2}$ *has no discrete c-q subchannels.*

B) *If the both channels* Φ_{K_1,f_1} *and* Φ_{K_2,f_2} *have no completely depolarizing subchannels then the channel* $\Phi_{K_1,f_1}\otimes\Phi_{K_2,f_2}$ *has no completely depolarizing subchannels.*

Remark 4. If Φ and Ψ are arbitrary quantum channels then existence of discrete c-q (completely depolarizing) subchannels of Φ obviously implies the same property for the channel $\Phi\otimes\Psi$.

But it is not clear how to prove the assertions of Corollary 5 for arbitrary quantum channels (even in finite dimensions).[14]

6.2 Some facts from the theory of symplectic spaces

In what follows Z is a $2s$-dimensional symplectic space with the nondegenerate skew-symmetric form Δ [1, 7, 12]. The set of vectors $\{e_1,...,e_s,h_1,...,h_s\}$ is called *symplectic basis* in Z if $\Delta(e_i,e_j)=\Delta(h_i,h_j)=0$ for all i,j, but $\Delta(e_i,h_j)=\delta_{ij}$. For an arbitrary subspace $L\subset Z$ one can define its skew-orthogonal complement $L^{\perp}=\{z\in Z\,|\,\Delta(z,z')=0\ \forall z'\in L\}$. Despite the fact that $L\cap L^{\perp}\neq\{0\}$ in general, we always have the familiar relations

$$[L^{\perp}]^{\perp}=L\quad\text{and}\quad\dim L+\dim L^{\perp}=\dim Z. \tag{26}$$

A linear transformation $T:Z\to Z$ is called *symplectic* if $\Delta(Tz_1,Tz_2)=\Delta(z_1,z_2)$ for all $z_1,z_2\in Z$. A symplectic transformation maps any symplectic basis to symplectic basis and vice versa: any two symplectic base are related by the particular symplectic transformation.

A subspace L of Z is called *symplectic* if the form Δ is non-degenerate on L, in this case L has even dimension and can be considered as a symplectic space of itself. We will use the following simple observation.

Lemma 4. *If* L *is a symplectic subspace of* Z *then* $L^{\perp}$ *is a symplectic subspace of* Z *and* $Z=L+L^{\perp}$ *(i.e.* $Z=\mathrm{lin}(L\cup L^{\perp})$ *and* $L\cap L^{\perp}=\{0\}$*).*

Proof. Since L is symplectic, $L\cap L^{\perp}=\{0\}$ and the second relation in (26) implies $Z=L+L^{\perp}$.

---

[14] I would be grateful for any comments concerning this question.

Assume there is a vector $z_0 \in L^\perp$ such that $\Delta(z_0, z) = 0$ for all $z \in L^\perp$. Then $\Delta(z_0, z + z') = 0$ for all $z' \in L$, $z \in L^\perp$, which implies $z_0 = 0$, since $Z = L + L^\perp$. □

Note that by joining the symplectic base in L and in $L^\perp$ we obtain a symplectic basis in Z.

A sum of mutually skew-orthogonal symplectic subspaces $L_1, ..., L_n$ will be denoted $L_1 \oplus ... \oplus L_n$.

A subspace L of Z is called *isotropic* if the form Δ equals to zero on L. In this case L has dimension $\leq s$. We will use the following observation.

Lemma 5. *If L is an isotropic subspace of Z then there exists a symplectic basis $\{\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{h}_i\}$ in Z such that $\{\tilde{e}_1, ..., \tilde{e}_d\} \subset L_1$, where $d = \dim L$.*

Proof. Let $\{e_i, h_i\}$ be an arbitrary symplectic basis in Z and L' the isotropic subspace of Z generated by the vectors $e_1, ..., e_d$. Since any two isotropic subspaces of the same dimension can be transformed to each other by symplectic transformation (see [12]), there is a symplectic transformation T such that $L = T(L')$. The basis $\{\tilde{e}_i = Te_i, \tilde{h}_i = Th_i\}$ has the required properties. □

Now we can prove the lemma used in the proof of Proposition 3.

Lemma 6. *Let L be an arbitrary subspace of Z. Then there exists a symplectic basis in Z such that* $\dim L$ *vectors of this basis lie in L.*

Proof. If the subspace L is either symplectic or isotropic then the assertion of the lemma follows respectively from Lemma 4 (with the remark after it) and Lemma 5.

If the subspace L is neither symplectic nor isotropic then $L_1 = L \cap L^\perp = \{z \in L \,|\, \Delta(z, z') = 0, \forall z' \in L\}$ is a nontrivial subspace of L. Let L_2 be an arbitrary subspace such that $L = L_1 + L_2$, i.e. $L = \mathrm{lin}(L_1 \cup L_2)$ and $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{0\}$. Then the subspace L_2 is symplectic. Indeed, if there is a vector $z_0 \in L_2$ such that $\Delta(z_0, z) = 0$ for all $z \in L_2$ then $\Delta(z_0, z + z') = 0$ for all $z' \in L_1$, $z \in L_2$, which implies $z_0 \in L_1$ and hence $z_0 = 0$.

By Lemma 4 the subspace $L_2^\perp$ is symplectic. It obviously contains the isotropic subspace L_1. By Lemma 5 there exists a symplectic basis $\{e_i, h_i\}$ in $L_2^\perp$ such that $\{e_1, ..., e_d\} \subset L_1$, where $d = \dim L_1$. By joining this basis and any symplectic basis in L_2 we obtain a basis with the required properties. □

I am grateful to A.S.Holevo and to the participants of his seminar "Quantum probability, statistic, information" (the Steklov Mathematical Institute) for useful discussion. The work is partially supported by RFBR grant 12-01-00319 and by the RAS research program.

References

[1] V.I.Arnold "Mathematical Methods Of Classical Mechanics", Springer, 1989.

[2] F.Caruso, J.Eisert, V.Giovannetti, A.S.Holevo "Multi-mode bosonic Gaussian channels", New Journal of Physics 10, 083030, 2008; arXiv:0804.0511.

[3] F.Caruso, J.Eisert, V.Giovannetti, A.S.Holevo "The optimal unitary dilation for bosonic Gaussian channels", Phys. Rev. A 84, 022306 2011; arXiv:1009.1108.

[4] B.Demoen, P.Vanheuverzwijn, A.Verbeure "Completely positive quasi-free maps on the CCR algebra", Rep. Math. Phys. V.15, P.27-39, 1979.

[5] J.Eisert, M.M.Wolf "Gaussian quantum channels", Quantum Information with Continuous Variables of Atoms and Light, P.23-42 (Imperial College Press, London, 2007); arXiv:quant-ph/0505151.

[6] F.Hiai, M.Mosonyi, D.Petz, C.Beny "Quantum f-divergences and error correction", arXiv:1008.2529.

[7] A.S.Holevo "Quantum systems, channels, information. A mathematical introduction", Berlin, DeGruyter, 2012.

[8] A.S.Holevo "On extreme Bosonic channels", arXiv:1111.3552.

[9] A.S.Holevo "One-mode quantum Gaussian channels: structure and quantum capacity", Problems of Information Transmission. 2007. V.43. N.1. P.3-14.

[10] A.S.Holevo "On complementary channels and the additivity problem", Probability Theory and Applications, V.51. N.1. P.134-143, 2006; arXiv:quant-ph/0509101.

[11] A.S.Holevo, M.E.Shirokov "On the entanglement-assisted classical capacity of infinite-dimensional quantum channels", arXiv:1210.6926.

[12] A.I.Kostrikin, Yu.I.Manin "Linear Algebra and Geometry", CRC, 1989.

[13] M.A.Nielsen, I.L.Chuang "Quantum Computation and Quantum Information", Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[14] A.Jencova, D.Petz "Sufficiency in quantum statistical inference", Commun. Math. Phys. 263, P.259-276, 2006; arXiv:math-ph/0412093.

[15] A.Jencova "Reversibility conditions for quantum operations", arXiv:1107.0453.

[16] T.Ogawa, A.Sasaki, M.Iwamoto, H.Yamamoto "Quantum Secret Sharing Schemes and Reversibility of Quantum Operations", Phys. Rev. A 72, 032318, 2005; arXiv:quant-ph/0505001.

[17] D. Petz "Sufficiency of channels over von Neumann algebras", Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) V.39, N.153, P.97-108, 1988.

[18] M.E.Shirokov "On quantum channels reversible with respect to a given family of pure states", arXiv:1203.0262.

[19] J.Solomon Ivan, Krishnakumar Sabapathy, R.Simon "Operator-sum Representation for Bosonic Gaussian Channels", Phys. Rev. A 84, 042311, 2011; arXiv:1012.4266.